2







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/460,221	12/13/1999	NAOYASU MIYAGAWA	JEL-28567RE-	2207
75	90 08/16/2002			
JAMES E LEDBETTER STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER LLP			EXAMINER	
	VIS MILLER & MOSHE T NW SUITE 850	HINDI, NABIL Z		
PO BOX 34387 WASHINGTON, DC 200434387			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200434387		2653	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. App

09/460,221

Applicant(s)

Miyagawa et al

Office Action Summary

1

Examiner

Nabil Hindi

Art Unit **2653**

	The Walling Date of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM			
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
-	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely			
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t				
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on April 09	2001 and the terminal disclaimer dated June 25, 2001 .			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This act				
3) 🗆					
3/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>26 and 29-48</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	fa) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>26 and 29-48</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received in Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th				
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
_	The translation of the foreign language provisions				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm					
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) I Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/460221

Art Unit: 2653

*** A

In response to applicant's amendments dated April 09, 2001 and the Terminal Disclaimer dated June 25, 2002. The following action is taken:

1. Claims 26, and 29-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States,* 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Applicant amended all original claims 1, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 of patent number 5,235,581 to include limitations which were specifically added to overcome prior art rejections during the prosecution of that original patent. Such, newly added limitations included for example, "N optical heads, N being greater than or equal to 2"; objective lenses "whose aberration have respectively been corrected for said N disc substrates having different thicknesses"; "a plurality

Application/Control Number: 09/460221

Art Unit: 2653

of" photo detecting means, control means for "allowing" and control means ... "for providing said

control signal to said selecting means and for allowing the light emitting means for" which have

now been are now removed from the present application. This constitutes an improper recapture

of the claims, see Pannu v. Storz Instruments, Inc. 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ. 2d 1597 (Fed. Cir.

2001).

Note: Applicant's required arguments to this rejection must include an explanation of all

limitations deleted from each of the independent claims of the original patent, which had

been added to establish patentability (e.g., added from the dependent claims to the

independent claims).

Furthermore, certificate of correction is requested for the parent reissue application citing

all related reissue applications.

Applicant is hereby reminded that the new supplemental declaration should meet the

requirements set in the MPEP 1414.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone

number (703) 308.1555

MARY EXAMINER Group 2500

4453

Page 3